



The Freedom to Write

**Katie Blankenship**  
Director, PEN America Florida  
she / her / hers  
kblankenship@pen.org

Jennifer Finney Boylan  
President

Ayad Akhtar  
Vice President

Markus Doble  
Executive Vice President  
Interim Treasurer

Tracy Higgins  
Vice President

Suzanne Nossel  
Chief Executive Officer

**TERM TRUSTEES**

- Marie Arana
- Peter Barbey
- John Chao
- Susan Choi
- Bridget Colman
- Roxanne Donovan
- Patricia Duff
- Lauren Embrey
- Patricia Fili-Krushel
- James Hannanham
- Tom Healy
- Elizabeth Hemmerdinger
- Linda Johnson
- Zachary Karabell
- Sean Kelly
- Min Jin Lee
- Franklin Leonard
- Margo Lowy
- Margaret Munzer Loebe
- Dinaw Mengestu
- Wesley Morris
- Paul Muldoon
- Lynn Nottage
- George Packer
- Michael Pietsch
- Allison Markin Powell
- Marvin Putnam
- Alix Ritchie
- Anya Salama
- Richard Samoff
- Fatima Shaik
- Andrew Solomon
- Luis Alberto Urrea
- Suzy Waliba
- Tara Westover
- Jamie Wolf

January 26, 2024

Honorable Paul Renner  
Speaker, The Florida House of Representatives  
420 Capitol  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

**Re: PEN America’s opposition to HB 901: Display of Flags by Governmental Entities**

Dear Speaker Renner:

As Director of the PEN America Florida office, I write to express PEN America’s opposition to HB 901: Display of Flags by Governmental Entities (HB901). PEN America is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization which stands at the intersection of literature and human rights to support free expression in the United States and worldwide. As currently proposed, HB901 explicitly targets racial and LGBTQ+ identities and symbols, and attempts to ban flags reflecting these symbols from all governmental agencies, specifically naming public schools, public colleges, and public universities. HB901’s emphasis on banning flags that represent certain "political viewpoints" including "racial" or "sexual orientation and gender" is overly vague and infringes on Floridians’ self expression protected under the First Amendment.

Flags are critical expressions of our identity. They are an ancient method of naming and showing pride and belonging for ourselves and our communities. As the Supreme Court noted, "Flags are almost as old as human civilization. Indeed, flags *symbolize* civilization." *Shurtleff v. City of Bos., Massachusetts*, 596 U.S. 243, 253 (2022) (emphasis in original). Thus, it is no small thing to impose viewpoint-based restrictions on the display of flags in all government settings, specifically including schools and higher education institutions.

Perhaps most concerning is the chilling effect that HB901 will likely have on universities across Florida. While HB901 makes an exception for "a private individual to express private speech," the lines between public and private are often blurred at public colleges and universities. Universities and colleges in Florida display any number of flags in manners and locations that likely would not be considered government speech. Professors may have varied items including flags on display in an office

**NEW YORK**  
**LOS ANGELES**  
**MIAMI**  
**WASHINGTON, D.C.**

where students come in for meetings; students, including residence hall employees, may put signs and flags on display on their doors or outside their windows in places that are publicly visible; student groups may have events or protests and wish to message through the use of flags on state property. It is unclear if the bill would consider these examples of government speech.

HB901 includes no guidance or definition for private versus public space in a public school, college, or university setting, which may chill university, college, and school employees and students' speech for fear of violating the statutory prohibitions. Constitutional jurisprudence regarding government speech is different with regard to colleges and universities as opposed to K-12 schools, yet this bill treats the two identically, without regard to those crucial differences. Failing to make these critical distinctions between K-12 and higher education and between government speech and individual forms of expression in public settings imposes viewpoint-based restrictions on Floridians. It would leave them to wade through this confusion and vagueness without direction.

Making determinations of what is public and private speech is not always an easy task, and it is often left to the courts to consider a number of factors. *See, e.g., Shurtleff*, 596 U.S. at 255, (“Next, then, we consider whether the public would tend to view the speech at issue as the government”). Based on the complexity of these determinations and lack of guidance included in HB901, the legislature should be concerned with the likely over-application of this bill and the chilling effect it will have across the state.

Such chilling effects and potential over-application create specific risks for Floridians of color and LGBTQ+ individuals, especially our youth. Because HB901 directly prohibits “racial” and “sexual orientation and gender” viewpoints and directly names public schools, colleges and universities, people of color and LGBTQ+ individuals that attend, use, work, or live at these institutions could be deprived of visibility, expression, and connection to community.

The vagueness and confusion in HB901 does not end there. The Florida legislature makes a troubling leap in designating all flags that reflect racial, gender, and sexual orientation as expressing “political viewpoints.” This adds to the risk of overapplication and misuse. The inclusion of these terms also suggests that the true target of this bill may very well be the

prohibition of flags expressing particular views disfavored by some elected officials in the legislature, such as the LGBTQ+ pride flag or flags reflecting pride or identification of communities of color.

While striving to display nonpartisanship in government agencies and public schools, colleges, and universities is a noble goal, nonpartisanship cannot be achieved through censorship. I urge the Florida legislature to reject HB901 based on its potential First Amendment violations and the specific harm it may cause to Floridians of color and LGBTQ+ individuals. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Katie Blankenship". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping flourish at the end.

Katie Blankenship  
Director, PEN America Florida

Cc: Members of the Florida House of Representatives